



NEWSLETTER
MISSOURI LEAGUE OF THE SOUTH
November 2011



The South Central Chapter of the Missouri League of the South held its monthly meeting at the Mountain View Senior Center at 6 PM, Monday, 21 November 2011. After Bob welcomed all attendees, Brother Bill opened the meeting with prayer.

Brother Zach began the meeting by leading the attendees in a lusty singing of our national anthem known as "Dixie", Bob repeated that there will be no December Meeting and Brother Wade gave the Blessing for our Thanksgiving Dinner.

There were thirty-two people present at the meeting. Eating, socializing and visiting with friends and neighbors followed.

AARP's Fall from Grace

I find this very interesting reading, so let's keep it going if you agree. It only takes a few days on the Internet and this will have reached 75% of the public in the USA . Seniors need to stand up for what is right, not what the politicians want or big Corporations want.

This letter was sent to Mr. Rand who is the Executive Director of AARP.

THIS LADY NOT ONLY HAS A GRASP OF 'THE SITUATION' BUT

AN INCREDIBLE COMMAND OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE!

Dear Mr. Rand,

Recently you sent us a letter encouraging us to renew our lapsed membership in AARP by the requested date. I know it is not what you were looking for, but this is the most honest response I can give you. Our gap in coverage is merely a microscopic symptom of the real problem, a deepening lack of faith.

While we have proudly maintained our membership for several years and have long admired the AARP goals and principles, regrettably, we can no longer endorse its abdication of our values. Your letter specifically stated that we can count on AARP to speak up for our rights, yet the voice we hear is not ours. Your offer of being kept up to date on important issues through DIVIDED WE FAIL presents neither an impartial view nor the one we have come to embrace.

We do believe that when two parties agree all the time on everything presented to them, one is probably not necessary. But, when the opinions and long term goals are diametrically opposed, the divorce is imminent. This is the philosophy which spawned our 200 years of government.

Once upon a time, we looked forward to being part of the senior demographic. We also looked to AARP to provide certain benefits and give our voice a power we could not possibly hope to achieve on our own. AARP gave us a sense of belonging which we no longer enjoy. The Socialist politics practiced by the Obama Regime and empowered by AARP serves only to raise the blood pressure my medical insurance strives to contain. Clearly a conflict of interest there!

We do not understand the AARP posture, feel greatly betrayed by the guiding forces that we expected to map out our senior years and leave your ranks with a great sense of regret. We mitigate that disappointment with the relief of knowing that we are not contributing to the problem anymore by renewing our membership. There are numerous other organizations which offer discounts without threatening our way of life or offending our sensibilities. This Obama Regime scares the living daylights out of us. Not just for ourselves, but for our proud and bloodstained heritage. But even more importantly for our children and grandchildren. Washington has rendered Solyent Green a prophetic cautionary tale rather than a nonfiction scare tactic. I have never in my life endorsed any militant or radical groups, yet now I find myself listening to them. I don't have to agree with them to appreciate the fear which birthed their existence. Their borderline insanity presents little more than a balance to the voice of the Socialist mindset in power. Perhaps I became American by a great stroke of luck in some cosmic uterine lottery, but in my adulthood I CHOOSE to embrace it and nurture the freedoms it represents

as well as the responsibilities it requires.

Your website generously offers us the opportunity to receive all communication in Spanish. ARE YOU KIDDING???

The illegal perpetrators have broken into our 'house', invaded our home without our invitation or consent. The President has insisted we keep these illegal perpetrators in comfort and learn the perpetrator's language so we can communicate our reluctant welcome to them.

I DON'T choose to welcome them.

I DON'T choose to support them.

I DON'T choose to educate them.

I DON'T choose to medicate them, pay for their food or clothing. American home invaders get arrested.

Please explain to me why foreign lawbreakers can enjoy privileges on American soil that Americans do not get?

Why do some immigrants have to play the game to be welcomed and others only have to break & enter to be welcomed?

We travel for a living. Walt hauls horses all over this great country, averaging over 10,000 miles a month when he is out there. He meets more people than a politician on caffeine overdose. Of all the many good folks he enjoyed on this last 10,000 miles, this trip yielded only ONE supporter of the current Regime. One of us is out of touch with mainstream America.

Since our poll is conducted without

funding, I have more faith in it than ones that are driven by a need to yield AMNESTY. (aka - make voters out of the foreign lawbreakers so they can vote to continue the government's free handouts). This addition of 10 to 20 Million voters who then will vote to continue Socialism will OVERWHELM our votes to control the government's free handouts. It is a "slippery slope" we must not embark on!

As Margret Thatcher (former Prime Minister of Great Britain) once said "Socialism is GREAT - UNTIL you run out of other people's money".

We have decided to forward this to everyone on our mailing list, and will encourage them to do the same... With several hundred in my address book, I have every faith that the eventual exponential factor will make a credible statement to you.

I am disappointed as all get out !!!!

I am more scared than I have ever been in my entire life !!!

I am ANGRY !!

I am MAD as hell, and I'm NOT gonna take it anymore!

Walt & Cyndy
Miller Farms Equine Transport

DID YOU KNOW?

As you walk up the steps to the building which houses the U. S Supreme Court you can see near the top of the building a row of the world's law givers and each one is facing one in the middle who is

facing forward with a full frontal view ... It is Moses and he is holding the Ten Commandments!

DID YOU KNOW?

As you enter the Supreme Court courtroom, the two huge oak doors have the Ten Commandments engraved on each lower portion of each door.

DID YOU KNOW?

As you sit inside the courtroom, you can see the wall, right above where the Supreme Court Judges sit a display of the Ten Commandments!

DID YOU KNOW?

There are Bible verses etched in stone all over the Federal Buildings and Monuments in Washington, D.C.

DID YOU KNOW?

James Madison, the fourth president, known as 'The Father of Our Constitution' made the Following statement:

'We have staked the whole of all our political Institutions upon the capacity of mankind for Self-government, upon the capacity of each and all of us to govern ourselves, to control ourselves, to sustain ourselves according to The Ten Commandments of God.'

DID YOU KNOW?

Every session of Congress begins with a prayer by a paid preacher, whose salary has been paid by the taxpayer since 1777.

DID YOU KNOW?

Fifty-two of the 55 founders of the Constitution were members of the established Orthodox churches in the colonies..

DID YOU KNOW?

Thomas Jefferson worried that the Courts would overstep their authority and instead of Interpreting the law would begin making law an oligarchy the rule of few over many.

How then, have we gotten to the point that everything we have done for 220 years in this Country is now suddenly wrong and Unconstitutional?

Let's put it around the world and let the world see and remember that this great country was built on The Holy Bible and belief in GOD!

Experts Agree with Ron Paul's Foreign Policy

Reports ABC News:

Ron Paul is often chided by his Republican opponents for his extreme views on American foreign policy. His calls for ending all foreign wars and shutting hundreds of military bases across the globe have drawn howls from his GOP rivals, who have labeled the moves irresponsible and naïve.

His campaign pledge of cutting all foreign aid and withdrawing U.S. participation in the World Trade Organization and the United Nations has been at odds with even the most conservative members of his own party.

Yet as voting day in Iowa and New Hampshire draws near, Paul, the Congressman from Texas, is finding support for his non-interventionist positions from a growing number of foreign policy experts.

“He’s attacking our rich lazy friends, why is that not more popular,” said Harvey Sapolsky, emeritus professor of public policy and organization at MIT. He backs Paul’s calls for reducing America’s military budget, arguing that much of it is used to defend wealthy nations’ security.

A huge, Cold War-era global presence — with hundreds of overseas military bases — isn’t necessary, now that the Soviet threat is over and the collapse of communism, Sapolsky said.

“It’s not in America’s interest,” said Sapolsky, who added that despite the drumbeat in the media over the fear of terrorism, America is the safest it has ever been in its history.

Christopher Preble, vice president for defense and foreign policy studies at the Cato Institute, is also another foreign policy expert who agrees that the United States is extraordinarily secure due to its geography and nuclear weapons, and doesn’t need a huge global presence.

He also argued that the United States’ military is being used in overseas conflicts with little or no national interest, specifically pointing to the U.S. invasion of Iraq.

Preble gave Paul credit for being one of the few outspoken critics of the 2003 invasion of Iraq.

“A lot of people won’t say come home,” Sapolsky said. “But Ron Paul does and that’s great.”

Health Doesn't Come Through a Needle

by Dr. Sherri Tenpenny

From Maine to Maui, Vancouver to Miami, autism rates across North America are soaring. As of February, 2010, there are an estimated 300,000 severely autistic children in this country – requiring nearly \$9 billion per year in services. Then numbers in Canada are equally staggering. Considering the country’s much smaller population, autism affects an estimated 190,000 children in Canada. And these numbers don’t reflect the millions of children "on the spectrum" in both countries.

Parents include OT, PT and speech therapy into their routine, approaching these activities as though they are a normal part of child development, like soccer and piano lessons. Doctors have started to say, "Well, two years is when kids get asthma", as though becoming asthmatic is a growth milestone.

There is one unifying factor affecting children, from sea to shining sea. It’s not genetics; genetics between families are different. It’s not environmental exposures; some kids live in the Projects, some live in Gated Communities. It’s not food; some kids eat only organic, some eat mostly McDonalds. It’s not exercise; some kids are athletes; others are couch potatoes.

What touches almost all children and is the most likely ‘smoking gun’ for the epidemic of chronic illness and autism across North America (and beyond), are childhood vaccinations.

Vaccine makers and undereducated medical professionals claim vaccines eradicated diseases, but official statistics clearly document that the so called "vaccine-preventable diseases" were nearly eliminated prior to the release of the vaccines designed to prevent the illnesses. Vaccines arrived at the eleventh hour in nearly every case, and were undeservedly credited as the hero.

Infectious diseases come and go. They are part of life, just as childhood illnesses, such as chickenpox and measles, were a normal part of growing up merely a generation ago. With an immune system supported by clean water, adequate sleep, decent living conditions, reasonably good food, and a 25-OH vitamin D level >60ng/mL, few will be at risk of dying from an infection. In fact, few will even become infected.

Medicine and healthcare have become emotionally sterile. We have separated the soma from the soul, removing the spiritual lessons that can come from experiencing illness and knowing death. We cling to life using all methods and endless expense. Western medicine conveniently sweeps deaths from vaccines under the rug as if vaccinating were a civic duty and adverse outcomes are an acceptable, if untoward, part of good citizenship. If we truly come to this planet to learn lessons, then perhaps death – even the

tragic and untimely death of a child – is an opportunity for us to learn and grow. But I digress...

We inject animal cells, heavy metals, toxic chemicals and viruses into our bodies, then call it "prevention", expecting shots to keep us well. Why have we allowed fear of illness to override our common sense? Do we expect to always be happy, always be sniffle-free? Have we lost our minds, believing for more than 200 years that an injection can actually keep us well?

We have exchanged chicken pox for autism, flu for asthma, ear infections for diabetes...and the list goes on and on. In the zeal to eliminate relatively benign microbes, we have traded temporary illnesses for pervasive, life-long diseases, disorders, dysfunctions and disabilities.

High vaccination rates and low infection rates must not be the only measure of health. Indeed, North American, highly vaccinated children are the most chronically ill, most heavily medicated persons in the world. True health, no matter how it is packaged and sold, cannot come through a needle.

In case you haven't heard about this, this is for any banking transaction

ON JANUARY 1st 2012, THE GOVERNMENT IS REQUIRING EVERYONE TO HAVE DIRECT DEPOSIT FOR SS CHECKS. WONDER WHY?

1% tax on all bank transactions HR4646

Watch for this AFTER November

elections; remember this BEFORE you VOTE in case you think Obama's looking out for your best interest.

1% tax on all bank transactions HR 4646.

This government just cannot think of enough ways to hurt the American people! I sure hope this dies!!!!

President Obama's finance team is recommending a one percent (1%) transaction fee (TAX). Obama's plan is to sneak it in after the November elections to keep it under the radar.

This is a 1% tax on all transactions at any financial institution - banks, credit unions, savings and loans, etc. Any deposit you make, or even a transfer within your account, will have a 1% tax charged. ~If your paycheck or your social security or whatever is direct deposit, it will get a 1% tax charged for the transaction. ~If your paycheck is \$1000, then you will pay Obama \$10 just for the privilege of depositing your paycheck in your bank. Even if you hand carry your paycheck or any check into your bank for a deposit, 1% tax will be charged. ~You receive a \$5,000 stock dividend from your broker, Obama takes \$50 just to allow you to deposit that check in the bank.. ~If you take \$1,000 cash to deposit at your bank, 1% tax will be charged.

Mind you, this is from the man who promised that, if you make under \$250,000 per year, you will not see one penny of new tax. Keep your eyes and ears open, you will be amazed at what you learn about this guy's under-the-table moves to increase the number of ways you are taxed.

Oh, and by the way, if you receive a refund from the IRS next year and you have it direct deposited or you walk in to deposit that check, you guessed it. You will pay a 1% charge of that money just for putting it in your bank. Remember, any money, cash, check or whatever, no matter where it came from, you will pay a 1% fee if you put it in the bank.

Some will say, oh well, it's just 1%. Are you kidding me? It's a 1% tax increase across the board. Remember, once the tax is there, they can also raise it at will. And if anyone protests, they will just say, "Oh, that's not really a tax, it's a user fee"! Think this is no big deal? Go back and look at the transactions you made on one year's banking statements. Then add the total of all those transactions and deduct 1%. Still think it's no big deal?

"A government big enough to give you everything you want is also big enough to take away everything you have." - Barry Goldwater

Juicing – Here Is Why It's a Good Idea!

by Margaret Durst

Juicing is a great way to get minerals in their natural ionized form. Juicing is used therapeutically in many natural regimens. The thought of juicing conjures up pictures of large quantities of vegetables being ground up for just a little bit of strong tasting juice.

Wouldn't it be better to just eat the vegetables? No, not if you are trying to restore mineral balance to your body. For therapeutic

use, it is necessary to separate the vegetable juice from the plant fiber.

Juicing is a way to get concentrated forms of natural vitamins and minerals into the body quickly. Raw juices are very easy for the body to digest and assimilate. The ionized form of minerals contained in the juices are particularly beneficial.

Raw juices are like an internal bath to the body. They tend to clean and wash the organs and tissues while delivering essential nutrients. Vegetable juices such as carrot, celery, beet, cucumber, kale, etc. tend to be the best at this because the fruit juices tend to stir up too many toxins, too rapidly, sometimes overwhelming the organs of elimination.

Certain vegetables are beneficial because of their specific vitamin and mineral content. For example, carrot juice is a great source of potassium and beta carotene. Because beta carotene can be converted to vitamin A in the body, carrot juice is beneficial for tissue healing, eye problems due to vitamin A deficiency, and healthy mucous membranes.

Celery juice is a source of natural sodium which helps neutralize acid waste. Celery juice is very beneficial for general cleaning, arthritis, bone spurs, and kidney problems. There is a significant difference between the ionized form of sodium contained in celery versus the chemical form contained in table salt. Arthritis, hardening in the joints, and bones spurs are indication of sodium (not salt) deficiency.

Beet juice is very

good for the liver. It helps bile flow more freely and is known as a good liver cleanser. It is also high in a very absorbable form of iron.

Parsley is a good source of potassium and is slightly diuretic. It is excellent for the kidneys particularly in combination with celery.

Kale and other dark greens are excellent in juices because of their chlorophyll content. They are the best blood builders since they contain a balance of iron, calcium, magnesium and potassium, not to mention valuable trace minerals.

Cucumber juice is high in natural sodium and is very cooling to the body.

Cabbage juice helps to heal ulcers. It is also used in natural cancer regimens.

My favorite juice is a combination of carrots, celery, and beets with just a little apple. It is a great pick me up snack, or just a good way to wind down after a busy day – plus it really makes my skin look better.

There are as many good juice recipes as there are juicing books. Many health conditions benefit from juicing. Also, juicers have come a long way – they are much more user friendly than they used to be. Try juicing and see for yourself.

Leaving Iraq?

by Ron Paul

It is not too often I am pleased by the foreign policy announcements from this administration, but last week's

announcement that the war in Iraq was in its final stage and all the troops may be home for Christmas did sound promising. I have long said that we should simply declare victory and come home. It should not have taken us nearly a decade to do so, and it was supposed to be a priority for the new administration. Instead, it will be one of the last things done before the critical re-election campaign gets into full swing. Better late than never, but, examining the fine print, is there really much here to get excited about? Are all of our men and women really coming home, and is Iraq now to regain its sovereignty? And in this time of economic crisis, are we going to stop hemorrhaging money in Iraq? Sadly, it doesn't look that way.

First and foremost, any form of withdrawal that is happening is not simply because the administration realized it was the right thing to do. This is not the fulfillment of a campaign promise, or because suddenly the training of their police and military is complete and Iraq is now safe and secure, but because of disagreements with the new government over a Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA). The current agreement was set up by the previous administration to expire at the end of 2011. Apparently the Iraqis refused to allow continued immunity from prosecution for our forces for any crimes our soldiers might commit on Iraqi soil. Can you imagine having foreign soldiers here, with immunity from our laws and Constitution, with access to your neighborhood?

Some 39,000 American troops will supposedly be headed home by the end of the year. However, the US embassy in Iraq, which is the largest and most

expensive in the world, is not being abandoned. Upwards of 17,000 military personnel and private security contractors will remain in Iraq to guard diplomatic personnel, continue training Iraqi forces, maintain "situational awareness" and other functions. This is still a significant American footprint in the country. And considering that a private security contractor costs the US taxpayer about three times as much as a soldier, we're not going to see any real cost savings. Sadly, these contractors are covered under diplomatic immunity, meaning the Iraqi people will not get the accountability that they were hoping for.

While I applaud the spirit of this announcement – since all our troops should come home from overseas – I have strong reservations about any actual improvements in the situation in Iraq, since plans are already being made to increase the number of troops in surrounding regions. What we really need is a new foreign policy and there is no indication that that is what we have gotten. On the contrary, the administration fully intends to keep troops in Iraq, indefinitely, under a new agreement, while the Iraqis are doing their best to assert their sovereignty and kick us out. Neither are we going to be saving any significant amount of money. My greatest fear, however, is that this troop withdrawal from Iraq will simply pave the way for more endless, wasteful, needless wars.

Low Salt Diet Increases Death Rate Over 500%

An article in The Journal of The American Medical Association (May 4, 2011) looked at the influence dietary salt

had in cardiovascular disease. The authors studied 3681 subjects for a median of 7.9 years. What the researchers found made headlines in major newspapers.

The scientists reported that there was a direct, inverse linear correlation between the amount of salt ingested and the rate of cardiovascular deaths. What that means is that as salt intake went up, cardiovascular deaths went down. They stratified the subjects into three groups; a low, medium and high salt intake group. The death rates declined as the subjects ate more salt: from 4.1% in the lowest group to 1.9% in the medium group and 0.8% in the highest group.

For those who have read my book, *Salt Your Way to Health*, there really wasn't anything new in this study. However, the media (at MSNBC.com) had large headlines proclaiming, "Eating less salt doesn't cut heart risks." However, MSNBC missed it here. The headline should have read, "Eating less salt increases your cardiovascular death rate over 5x as compared to those who eat a high salt diet."

The human body was designed to crave and utilize salt. We have hundreds of grams of salt in our bodies at any one time. As I showed you above, eating a low salt diet does not decrease your risk for heart disease, it actually increases it—this has also been shown in many other studies. Furthermore, restricting salt to very low levels has almost no effect on blood pressure for the vast majority of people.

Finally, it is important to eat the right kind of salt—unrefined salt. Unrefined salt contains over 80 minerals that are

essential to the human body. I have successfully used unrefined salt in my medical practice for nearly 20 years. Unrefined salt actually helps to lower blood pressure. More information about salt can be found in my book, Salt Your Way to Health.

What is the take home message? Use the right salt—unrefined salt. Examples of inexpensive, well-tested brands of unrefined salt include Celtic Brand Sea Salt and Redmonds Real Salt.

What is an Infidel

By Rick Mathes

This is a true story and the author is a well-known leader in prison ministry.

The man who walks with God always gets to his destination. If you have a pulse you have a purpose. The Muslim religion is the fastest growing religion per capita in the United States, especially in the minority races.

Last month I attended my annual training session that's required for maintaining my state prison security clearance. During the training session there was a presentation by three speakers representing the Roman Catholic, Protestant and Muslim faiths, who each explained their beliefs.

I was particularly interested in what the Islamic Imam had to say. The Muslim gave a great presentation of the basics of Islam, complete with a video.

After the presentations, time was provided for questions and answers. When it was my turn, I directed my question to the Muslim and asked: 'Please, correct me if I'm wrong, but I understand that most Imams and clerics

of Islam have declared a holy jihad [Holy war] against the infidels of the world and, that by killing an infidel, (which is a command to all Muslims) they are assured of a place in heaven. If that's the case, can you give me the definition of an infidel?'

There was no disagreement with my statements and, without hesitation, he replied, 'Non-believers!'

I responded, 'So, let me make sure I have this straight. All followers of Allah have been commanded to kill everyone who is not of your faith so they can have a place in heaven. Is that correct?'

The expression on his face changed from one of authority and command to that of a little boy who had just been caught with his hand in the cookie jar.'

He sheepishly replied, 'Yes.'

I then stated, 'Well, sir, I have a real problem trying to imagine The Pope commanding all Catholics to kill those of your faith or Dr. Stanley ordering all Protestants to do the same in order to guarantee them a place in heaven!'

The Muslim was speechless.

I continued, 'I also have a problem with being your friend when you and your brother clerics are telling your followers to kill me! Let me ask you a question:

Would you rather have your Allah, who tells you to kill me in order for you to go to heaven, or my Jesus who tells me to love you because I am going to heaven and He wants you to be there with me?' You could have heard a pin drop.

Needless to say, the organizers and/or promoters of the 'Diversification' training seminar were not happy with my way of dealing with the Islamic Imam,

and exposing the truth about the Muslims' beliefs.

In twenty years there will be enough Muslim voters in the U.S. to elect the President.

I think everyone in the U.S. should be required to read this, but with the ACLU, there is no way this will be widely publicized, unless each of us sends it on! This is your chance to make a difference...

FOR CHRIST'S SAKE....SEND THIS ON ...

TORTURE AND THE DEMISE OF FREEDOM

By Les Goss

Torture and the founding principles of American Liberty and Freedom are mutually exclusive. The concepts of Liberty and Freedom, whether they are related strictly to the American experience or not, are also repugnant to the concept of torture. Americans know, have always known, that torture is a threat to Liberty and Freedom. Therefore, we have ever and always guarded our precious Liberty and Freedom through a rule of law which excludes the concept of torture. That is.... until 9/11. The nefarious machinations of the George Bush II administration, in cahoots with a cowed Congress, and servile Federal courts after 9/11 turned upside down the historical and traditional American anathema toward torture. Since 9/11 there has emerged a new American Ethos as regards law and law enforcement and how they mesh with our ideas of Liberty and Freedom. This emerging ethos is not a product of an

ideological awakening among the American people, en masse. Instead, it is an ethos which has been craftily, surreptitiously, and subtly wormed into our collective world view as a result of 9/11 and the psycho-politico subterfuge which spawned it. This subterfuge, birthed by the federal government, nurtured by its media myrmidons, and adopted by nearly every major national institution will result in the demise of our American Freedom.

The lie is thus: (1) since and because of 9/11, the threat of terror among us, within our national borders, is so great and so imminent that we must be given new tools to use in the war against it. (2) protecting the American people and our Freedom is the first priority in the war against terror. (3) the traditional methods of law enforcement are weak and ineffectual in aiding our cause of protecting our own Freedom. (4) the primary tool we must have in order to ferret out terror and terrorists before they strike is aggressive interrogation (torture).

The argument is logical. It seems reasoned and balanced. If we accept the scenario of a gross and immediate threat to our nation's Freedom from the ever-present danger of terror. The scenario is false, however. Terror, per se, does not threaten our Freedom. Americans can deal with terrorists and terror attacks. Terrorists will never topple our government, nullify our military, destroy our law enforcement function, eradicate our economy, wipe out our national institutions, make void our system of law or our courts, invalidate our Constitution, cripple our society, or vaporize our culture. Terrorists and the terror they might inflict upon Americans within our borders will never be able to do any of those things precisely because

we are a free people! Freedom, growing up from Liberty, is the best and only tool (weapon) to gain victory over terrorists. The lie tries to convince us to the contrary, that unless we reduce our Freedom, unless we curtail our Liberty, then terrorists and terror will win the day. Hence, since 9/11, an evil federal government has given us the USA Patriots Acts, the Military Commissions Act, the Warner National Defense Act, the FISA Act, NorthCom, the Department of Homeland Security, the Transportation Safety Administration, 70+ fusion centers, all designed to reduce our Liberty and Freedom for the sake of "fighting" terror. The most obvious and simplest question we may and should ask is: "Where are the terrorists?" A second demand is: "Show us the terror!" A third is: "Reveal to us the indicted, arrested, tried and convicted terrorists who have fallen to us during this war on terror!" A fourth is: "List for us the terror-plots that have been thwarted as a result of the limitless power given to the government through these various and sundry freedom-stealing acts and organizations." There can be no answer to these demands because there is no substance to the manufactured threat of terror and terrorists.

Are there no terrorists? Yes, they exist. Might they commit acts of terror? Yes, they may. Is the threat so great as to justify and warrant the piece-meal destruction of our Constitution, the Bill of Rights, and our Freedoms guaranteed thereby? Absolutely not! It..this war on terror...is a grand farce, an unmitigated fraud, an absolute deception of smoke and mirrors! Underlying and supporting the several Freedom-stealing Acts passed by an effete Congress since 9/11 is the insidious concept of torture. Why

is this so? Because accepting the principle of torture will ultimately nullify the concepts of Liberty and Freedom upon which our society, our culture, and our nation rests. Torture trumps every Freedom we have, many of them enshrined in the Constitution. Torture, the acceptance of its use, the acknowledgment of its viability and utility, the implementation of its principles underlies every so-called act passed by Congress in order to "fight" terrorism. The USA Patriot Acts accept the concepts of torture ... under certain conditions. The Military Commissions Act accepts the concepts of torture ... under certain conditions. Provisions of these acts have been and are now being interpreted in secret by those whose job it is to enforce them. Even members of Congress charged with the oversight of the implementation of these acts are prevented from reviewing the secret interpretations of certain provisions! Who, then, decides what is ... "under certain conditions"...? Are these decisions made in secret, without Congressional oversight, without court approval, without the application of the Bill of Rights?

THE RULE OF LAW

Americans, until 9/11, enjoyed the rule of law. The rule of law is based upon several assumptions regarding good and evil, crime and punishment. The first assumption is that human government is instituted to punish evil and reward good. Another is that one accused of a crime (doing evil) is considered innocent until proven guilty. The "proof" of finding one guilty (doing evil) is based upon evidence. Evidence is discovered, often because of a thing called "reasonable suspicion". Reasonable Suspicion, because of and under the rule of law, is pursued in order to infer

possible guilt of the wrong doing, or to exonerate the one suspected of wrong doing. If and when enough evidence is amassed to indicate guilt, then a condition of "probable cause" is established. Probable Cause is the legal requirement for issuing a warrant, for securing the arrest of the suspected wrong-doer, based upon the collection of evidence through the use of investigation due to reasonable suspicion. What follows is indictment by a court, or grand jury, in order to arrest, arraign and charge the individual with the wrong-doing (the evil), so that the government may carry out its God-given mandate of punishing evil. Next, the suspected wrong-doer is given a trial in open court, before a jury of his peers, who determine his guilt or innocence based upon the evidence presented by the government and the laws pertinent to the charge. This is a synopsis of the rule of law regarding crime and punishment. It is our American tradition, growing out of English Common Law, and Biblical Law. It represents over 200 years' of the American experience ... all devoid of and anathema to the concept and principles of torture.

TORTURE AND THE RULE OF LAW

Without law, societies degenerate into chaos. Law establishes and upholds order. God is a God of order. God ordains human government to uphold order, reward good, punish evil, and prevent chaos among men. God's Law is the basis for human law. Therefore, human law is a moral law ... because; God and His Law are moral. The concepts of what is right and what is good are foundational to the establishment of law among men. No law, resulting in chaos, is evil. It is wrong. Why? Because it makes no

difference between good and evil. Chaos allows the presence of evil. It allows the triumph of wrong over right, evil over good. Chaos among men is rebellion against God. A human government which permits chaos is in rebellion against God. Chaos, you recall, is the absence of law. Law is merely a set of rules. If there are no rules, then there is no law, and chaos will reign. When chaos reigns Liberty and Freedom are at grave risk. Only law, based upon God's plan for human government, will uphold Liberty and Freedom.

We have seen that America, the United States, is a nation of laws. The basic law is the Constitution. The Constitution establishes law, it upholds what is right through the law it establishes. The understanding of this truth is the reason that the U. S. Supreme Court exists. The primary function of the U.S. Supreme court is to uphold the law ... the Constitution. The Supreme Court determines the "Constitutionality" of any law. It must prove that any law (federal or state) is in accord with the supreme law (the Constitution) in order for that law to be upheld. The Constitution is sacrosanct. Regarding law in the United States, the Constitution is the only law which is "untouchable", except by and through the process of Amendment. The Bill of Rights, for example, upholds what is right, and it mitigates against evil. It, being part of the Constitution, is as untouchable as the rest of the Supreme Law. But, what if the law, even the Supreme Law, is "touched"? What if the law is attacked, damaged, perverted, even destroyed? What then? Chaos becomes rampant, and Liberty and Freedom disappear. It seems important, then, to protect the law ... to uphold it..to preserve it. Hence, a thing called the Oath of Office is administered to every

government employee. And what singular thing does the Oath stipulate? It demands that the oath taker uphold, protect, and preserve the law, the U. S. Constitution. Why? Because without law, chaos reigns, and Liberty and Freedom disappear. It is important, then, to ensure that the law remains "untouchable".

Since 9/11, the law, the Supreme Law, the U.S. Constitution has been "touched". It has been attacked by laws passed by Congress which are contrary. It has been nullified by these contrary laws, not because they are good and it is bad. Not because the Constitution is outdated, antiquated, or evil... but, because those who are charged with the duty to uphold, protect, and preserve the Constitution have disregarded their oath. They have ignored their oath, ignored the Supreme Law, and have given their allegiance over to these other contrary laws. They have done this in direct violation of their oath, and of the Supreme Law. They are traitors to the law, having chosen to ignore it, and to replace it with contrary law in blatant disregard for the true and right law. They have done this in many ways. But, we will investigate just one of the ways they have committed this evil and traitorous deed in order to establish the case against torture.

The definition of torture in the context of this article is, according to Noah Webster: "Severe pain inflicted judicially, either as a punishment for a crime, or for the purpose of extorting a confession from an accused person." (Webster, 1828) (This definition, however, is not entirely accurate as it pertains to the use, motivation, or justification for the torture permitted by the contrary laws we noted above.)

Under provisions of acts passed by

Congress since 9/11, whether openly or secretly interpreted by those who enforce these laws, torture is permitted and used to cause severe pain, extract confessions, and to murder. It has been documented as being used in foreign countries by American military/intelligence/mercenary personnel, such as the Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq. It has been documented as being used in the US. Military base prison in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. It has been alleged to being used by US. Military/intelligence personnel in several places around the world, against foreign nationals and even against American citizens, most of whom are of foreign extraction.

The contrary laws under which torture is allowed nullify the rule of law, the rule of the Supreme Law, the US Constitution. The 8th Amendment prohibits the infliction of "... cruel and unusual punishments ... ". Torture is cruel and unusual punishments. The 5th Amendment requires the "... presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury ... " in order that a person may be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime. The contrary laws passed by acts of Congress since 9/11 allow the holding of persons without the presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury. They even allow for the holding of individuals who have not been charged with such crime, or who may never be charged with such crime. They allow for the holding of these people in secret, forever, and to be subject to the use of torture upon their bodies.

The 6th Amendment demands that a person accused of a crime be allowed a "... speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury ... ", and that the accused "... be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation ... ", and that he has the

right to be" ... confronted with the witness against him ... ", that he be given the right to have, " ... witnesses in his favor ... ", and that he be given the, " ... assistance of counsel for his defense." The acts passed by Congress since 9/11, being contrary to the Supreme Law, allow each and all of these rights to be ignored and disregarded. As an individual is taken secretly and placed into prison without a charge, without a trial, without being allowed to confront any accuser, without being given the opportunity to call witnesses on his behalf, without the assistance of a lawyer ... and, subject to torture, we begin to see the reign of chaos. Chaos is the condition that results when there is no law. These acts of Congress have, in effect, eradicated the protections of the Supreme Law. .. Therefore, there is no law.

The 5th Amendment, again, demands that a person, "... shall not be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law ... ", The acts passed by Congress since 9/11 are contrary to the 5th Amendment. They allow for a man to be compelled to be a witness against himself through the application of torture. Torture compels. When a person is whisked away in secret, in accord with the provisions of the acts passed by Congress, then he is being deprived of liberty. If he dies under torture, then he has been deprived of his life without the due process of law. The law which should apply for his protection, being ignored and nullified, has therefore been violated ... it has been thwarted, treated as if it did not exist. In fact, then, chaos reigns. And, torture is at the root of this chaos.

The 4th Amendment requires that people are to be, "secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures ". That, "... no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or Affirmation... ". Since 9/11 Congress has passed laws contrary to the 4th Amendment. These laws provide for the search and seizure of private persons, houses, papers, and effects without warrant, without charge, and without affirmation to probable cause. They also provide no way of redress by the offended person. In fact, they provide for the arrest and incarceration of such individuals if they make known or make public what has been done to them. When the rule of law is gone, then torture fills the gap. The rule of law means that the law rules. It means that the law is supreme, that all must obey it, and that all are accountable to it. Removing the rule of law nullifies all restraint. As regards government, when it no longer is required to obey and adhere to the rule of law, then chaos orchestrated by the government itself will result. That chaos is built upon, or upheld by the threat of, or the application of torture. The ultimate end of torture is death. Therefore, when a government resorts to torture as a means to advance its agenda or to enhance its controlling influence, it establishes the threat of death as its answer to all who will resist. The government of the United States, through the various acts passed by Congress since 9/11, has established that it will use torture as a means to advance its agenda. It has not excluded the use of torture against its own citizens.

The acknowledgment of the God-given rights listed in the Bill of Rights, is ignored and cast away by a government

which has made laws contrary to the Bill of Rights and to the U. S. Constitution. The utilization of torture as the ultimate form of requiring acquiescence to government power, authority, and control effectively negates the Freedom of all Americans attested to by the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Contrary to the lie, torture is not a means whereby we may protect our Freedom from terrorists. No, torture is a tool of terrorists. It is a tool used to remove Freedom, not to protect it.